



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,387	09/10/2002	Harri Vatanen	2132-47PCON	8959

7590 08/30/2007  
Lance J Lieberman  
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane  
Suite 1210  
551 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10176

EXAMINER
----------

HA, LEYNNA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/30/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/868,387

Applicant(s)

VATANEN, HARRI

Examiner

LEYNNA T. HA

Art Unit

2135

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leynna T. Ha. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Alfred Froebrich. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 24 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nishioka (5,754,656).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Froebrich discussed the differences of between the Nishioka reference and claim 13. Applicant finds Nishioka does not include the claimed payment machine verifies the authenticity of the signature. Applicant agrees claim 1 does not have this limitation and needs to be amended to read on the limitation of claim 13. Examiner discussed the broad interpretation for the mobile station where the claim does not limit the communication or transfer concept is wired or wireless. Thus, currently the claimed mobile station can broadly be given as a smart card or credit card that can be inserted into a payment machine for the payment machine to verify the authenticity. Rather than the mobile station communicating wirelessly to the payment machine for signature verification. The claimed invention needs to further clarify if applicant intends to amend so there is a distinction for searching and patentability.